



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.39, No.10

March 21st, 2003

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: *"Something seems to have gone radically wrong with the programming of many human cerebral computers... we are plagued with self-destructive positive feedback subsystems. The marketplace and big business are obsessed with the positive feedback of greed's more and more for mine's own one self, and its less and less for many or all others or for the system as a whole."*

– Peter Lock in *"The Great Harlot"*, 2002

THAT HIDEOUS EBOLA DISEASE by Betty Luks:

Any person with just a drop of the milk of human kindness would have been crushed by that photo of the Congolese man ("Ebola breaks out of gorilla population", *The Australian*, March 11th, 2003), victim of the Ebola virus, helplessly looking on as a Red Cross worker, fully clothed in protective 'anti-germ' gear, 'disinfected' the dirty hospital ward at the Kelle Hospital in the north western Congo.

The article would have us believe the latest outbreak began when the tribal people of the region "ate infected gorilla meat". The tribal people would have been eating gorilla meat for hundreds, if not thousands, of years. The question is, if it was infected with this new ebola-type virus, how did the gorilla get infected? It also reported the "World Health Organisation says more than 1000 people have died from the virus since it was identified in 1976".

Emerging Viruses: Aids & Ebola – Nature, Accident or Intentional? In his comprehensive work, above title, Leonard Horowitz sets out to trace the genesis of the Ebola and HIV viruses and in so doing, reveals some important facts about:-

- The World Health Organisation (WHO).
- Where the first known outbreaks occurred.

On the WHO he writes: "Dozens of *WHO Chronicle* articles revealed that by 1968 the WHO had been solely in control of the world's experimental 'biologicals' for almost two decades.

"WHO has exerted a powerful influence on the quality control of biological substances since its very inception in 1948. ... Since 1952, when WHO interest in the establishment of international requirements for such biological products began, various possible measures have been examined for attempting to

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought for the Week* • *That Hideous Ebola Disease* • *When The Pollies And The People Are Polls Apart* • *Conservative American Indicts "War Party"* • *Paradoxical Hypocrisy*

"ON TARGET"

March 21st, 2003

Page 1 (37)

achieve a greater degree of uniformity in the quality, safety, and potency of vaccines, antisera, etc. ... for the control of substances of particular interest to WHO in relation to its mass immunisation and mass prophylaxis schemes in developing countries... (*WHO Chronicle*, 1968; 23; 1:3-15)

'Monkey disease': The second point, tracking down where the first known outbreaks of ebola-type viruses occurred, led him to Germany and Yugoslavia in 1967.

In 1967, the strain now known as Marburg struck three vaccine production facilities almost simultaneously. Two outbreaks occurred in two West German cities and another in Yugoslavia.

Oddly the virus then 'disappeared' until 1975 when it 'reared its ugly head' in South Africa. Then, less than two years later two larger outbreaks erupted in southern Sudan and northern Zaire.

1969 World Health Organisation report: In 1969 the World Health Organisation released its second five-year research report on viral experiments it had funded or conducted since 1959.

The report stated: "In the years 1964-68 the principal advances in virology were in knowledge of the fundamental structure of viruses and cells and of their interrelationship and interactions. A much greater understanding was gained of the natural behaviour of viruses as infectious agents, of the pathogenesis of virus diseases and of the means of controlling many of the common virus diseases - generally by improving existing vaccines or by developing new ones.

Though direct proof of a causal relationship between viruses and human cancer still escapes the numerous investigators working on this subject, the quest continues to be energetically pursued. The hypothesis that at least some malignant neoplastic diseases such as leukemia are associated with virus infection is perhaps even more strongly expressed now than in the past."

All this happened during the so-called 'cold war'.

At the time, "Russian and American researchers were privy to the same vaccines, viral samples, and information about how the human immune system could be bolstered or destroyed by old and newly developed germs, including those produced from monkey viruses."

Marburg, Ebola and HIV engineered in laboratories? The evidence Mr. Horowitz collected, led him to the conclusion the Marburg (Germany and Yugoslavia), Ebola (Congo) and HIV viruses did not naturally occur, they had to be genetically engineered strains made in laboratories, in the West - laboratories which he names in his book.

That poor man in the north western region of the Congo is just one of the now many innocent victims of germ warfare.

No wonder Bush, Blair and Howard are concerned about Saddam Hussein's 'weapons of mass destruction' - the West first supplied him with them!

We do not know where this horror will end, or who will be the future victims, what we do know is that those producing 'biological and chemical weapons of mass destruction' are quite mad - they have lost all sense of reality.

Peter Lock (*The Great Harlot*) warns us: "We do not know what further surprises Nature has in store for those who threaten her delicate vital sense of balance with their man-made evil positive feedback instabilities. Nature does not employ defensive mechanisms. Any offence against her stimulates her to attack and turn the situation to her own advantage."

WHEN THE POLLIES AND THE PEOPLE ARE POLLS APART: Melbourne columnist Terry Lane thinks CIR might be the way to go in the present political climate of elected dictatorship. The *Sunday Age*, March 9th, 2003.

What prompted him? Last Thursday, March 6th, one lone citizen by the name of Mark Gwynneth was thrown out of Parliament House having been crudely gagged by a security guard's hand over his mouth. His offense? Trying to gain his attention, he shouted at John Howard, "Killing Iraqi children is immoral."

Now, writes Terry Lane, there is going to be a war vote in Parliament, but if the vote goes against Howard it won't make any difference. "That," says Mr. Lane, "is democracy at work, Howard-style." What to do about it? He thinks the time has come to put CIR into place. He sets out to demolish the arguments against CIR comparing the Swiss people's control over their governments and our lack of control over ours: "In Australia 100,000 people in the street accomplishes nothing. In Switzerland, 100,000 petitioners can force a government to seek popular endorsement for its actions."

He doesn't accept the argument CIR would open the door to 'populist demagogues'. The evidence from Switzerland is that only about one in 13 non-government referendum proposals get a yes vote anyhow.

He concludes: "South Australia could be about to become a CIR laboratory if its Speaker, Peter Lewis, gets his way on the constitutional reform that is his price for supporting government. All power to his arm."

CONSERVATIVE AMERICAN INDICTS 'WAR PARTY': In this week's American Conservative, March 11th, 2003, editor Pat Buchanan issued a controversial 5000-word indictment of Bush's 'War Party.'

He alleges: 'War Party' ideas and plans for an attack on Iraq had been 'in preparation far in advance of 9/11, and when President Bush was looking for a new front,' the neocons 'put their precooked meal in front of him. And Bush dug into it.'

Richard Perle wrote a paper urging Israeli PM Netanyahu to dump the Oslo Peace Accords and target Iraq – five years before 9/11.

Undersecretary of Defense Douglas Feith urged Israel to ditch the Oslo and take back the West Bank though 'the price in blood would be high,' three years before the Camp David talks.

Pentagon official David Wurmser urged the U.S. to act in concert with Israel to 'strike fatally... the regimes of Damascus, Baghdad, Tripoli, Tehran and Gaza' – nine months before 9/11.

Bennett, Kristol, Podhoretz 'seized on the horrific atrocity [of September 11] to steer America's rage into all-out war to destroy their despised enemies, the Arab and Islamic 'rogue states that have resisted US hegemony and loathe Israel.'

The neocon vision is 'to conscript American blood to make the world safe for Israel.... [They] seek American empire and the Sharonites seek hegemony over the Middle East. The two agendas coincide precisely.'

Mr. Buchanan can expect a backlash – as a matter of course.

DR. TOBEN'S NEWS UPDATE: The *Adelaide Institute* newsletter has advised its readers the appeal lodged by Dr. Toben against Justice Catherine Branson's decision that 'holocaust' material on the institute's website must be removed, has been adjourned, because of a legal technicality, until the next Federal Court sessions. The three appeal judges determined before the February 25th hearing that the actual appeal may raise constitutional matters, and accordingly advised the counsels. Now the various States and Territories Attorneys' General must be notified that this case is before the court so that they may voice their objections, or otherwise, on the matter under consideration.

It would appear the actual Racial Discrimination Act could be in contravention of the Foreign Affairs Power.

PARADOXICAL HYPOCRISY by Ron Owen:

In Saturday's *Gympie Times* we had more evidence which supports the popular thesis that governments are only an, 'out of control', parasitical tumour that feasts off the product of the human race. We had our top General, Peter Cosgrove admitting that we have no Defence against North Korea's Nuclear Armed Ballistic Missiles.

That even with our herculean Defence Budget of eight billion (in US dollars, which is an equivalent amount to the People's Republic of China's Defence budget), we cannot defend ourselves against a third world country that has been receiving Australian Aid for more than ten years, including assistance to its Nuclear Programme.

That's right, all through the nineties, our government has paid millions of your dollars and donated technical assistance from Lucas Heights NSW (Australia's sole reactor) to North Korea to assist in their Nuclear Reactor Building programme. So our governments cannot defend us against North Korea. Are we going to be amazed when we are told we cannot defend ourselves against Indonesia or Singapore?

Our Government, even with 12 days notice, utilizing our total defence resources cannot defend our Capital from a Bush Fire, 500 houses are destroyed. That time Nature or the Greens are the enemy, and even though the government has not yet banned hose-pipes, you can still (amazingly) defend your house against fire (but not people). Just think how our government is going to defend you from an external aggressor such as North Korea, when as at Pearl Harbour, no notice is given and in our case the people have been disarmed, so they cannot assist in defending their own homes...

The headline "*Prime Minister.....first hand view of the perils of war*", conjures the thought of Little Johnny, our conquering hero, running up the ladder, going over the top into the rain of death-withering machine gun fire, pausing to blow his whistle as he stands on the top of the parapets hunching his shoulders to shrug off the rain-like bullets, his revolver in the other hand, shouting "Charge Boys"; just before he fell in a gyrating blood-gurgling mess, hands still outstretched towards the hated enemy, risking his own life for his country, giving his all for his country even if his country is wrong.

If he was the Australian token gesture, to save the American Stock Market then I would believe that he had at least a conviction in his own myopia, but he has no right to put others in peril, as a token of his support, as the loss of our tiny contingent would not get as much world public notice, as the loss of John himself. So if there has to be a sacrifice, it should be only of himself. Our few troops, are too valuable to be lost in Jack-Boot John Howard's world stage ego-trip. Defending Australia is supposed to be what our Army is for.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.